



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/609,074	06/27/2003	Anuj Dhawan	297/157/3	7438
25297	7590	03/29/2005	EXAMINER	
JENKINS, WILSON & TAYLOR, P. A.				PIZIALI, ANDREW T
3100 TOWER BLVD				ART UNIT
SUITE 1400				PAPER NUMBER
DURHAM, NC 27707				1771

DATE MAILED: 03/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/609,074	DHAWAN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Andrew T Piziali	1771

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 June 2003.

2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-96 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) _____ is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) 1-96 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) All b) Some * c) None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date
4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____ .
5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
6) Other:

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species 1, drawn to a coaxial conductive yarn (as illustrated in Figure 1) and structure and/or process of making.

Species 2, drawn to a twisted pair conductive yarn (as illustrated in Figure 2) and structure and/or process of making.

Species 3, drawn to a coaxial conductive yarn (as illustrated in Figure 25) and structure and/or process of making.

2. Upon the election of Species 1, the applicant is further required to elect a single Sub-Species:

Sub-Species 1, drawn to a coaxial conductive yarn (as illustrated in Figure 1) and woven structure (as illustrated in Figure 3) and/or process of making.

Sub-Species 2, drawn to a coaxial conductive yarn (as illustrated in Figure 1) and knitted fabric (as illustrated in Figures 16 and 19) and/or process of making.

Sub-Species 3, drawn to a coaxial conductive yarn (as illustrated in Figure 1) and knitted fabric (as illustrated in Figure 18) and/or process of making.

Sub-Species 4, drawn to a coaxial conductive yarn (as illustrated in Figure 1) and braided fabric (as illustrated in Figure 29) that is warp knitted into a fabric (as illustrated in Figure 33) and/or process of making.

Sub-Species 5, drawn to a coaxial conductive yarn (as illustrated in Figure 1) and braided fabric (as illustrated in Figure 29) that is warp knitted into a fabric (as illustrated in Figure 34) and/or process of making.

3. Upon the election of Species 2, the applicant is further required to elect a single

Sub-Species:

Sub-Species 1, drawn to a twisted pair conductive yarn (as illustrated in Figure 2) and woven structure (as illustrated in Figure 4) and/or process of making.

Sub-Species 2, drawn to a twisted pair conductive yarn (as illustrated in Figure 2) and leno weave structure (as illustrated in Figure 5) and/or process of making.

Sub-Species 3, drawn to a twisted pair conductive yarn (as illustrated in Figure 2) and bottom doup leno weave structure (as illustrated in Figure 6) and/or process of making.

Sub-Species 4, drawn to a twisted pair conductive yarn (as illustrated in Figure 2) and top doup leno weave structure (as illustrated in Figure 7) and/or process of making.

Sub-Species 5, drawn to a twisted pair conductive yarn (as illustrated in Figure 2) and knitted fabric (as illustrated in Figures 15 and 21) and/or process of making.

Sub-Species 6, drawn to a twisted pair conductive yarn (as illustrated in Figure 2) and knitted fabric (as illustrated in Figure 17) and/or process of making.

Sub-Species 7, drawn to a twisted pair conductive yarn (as illustrated in Figure 2) and braided fabric (as illustrated in Figure 30) that is warp knitted into a fabric (as illustrated in Figure 33) and/or process of making.

Sub-Species 8, drawn to a twisted pair conductive yarn (as illustrated in Figure 2) and braided fabric (as illustrated in Figure 30) that is warp knitted into a fabric (as illustrated in Figure 34) and/or process of making.

4. Upon the election of Species 3, the applicant is further required to elect a single

Sub-Species:

Sub-Species 1, drawn to a coaxial conductive yarn (as illustrated in Figure 25) and woven structure (as illustrated in Figure 26) and/or process of making.

Sub-Species 2, drawn to a coaxial conductive yarn (as illustrated in Figure 25) and knitted fabric (as illustrated in Figure 27) and/or process of making.

Sub-Species 3, drawn to a coaxial conductive yarn (as illustrated in Figure 25) and knitted fabric (as illustrated in Figure 28) and/or process of making.

Sub-Species 4, drawn to a coaxial conductive yarn (as illustrated in Figure 25) and braided fabric (as illustrated in Figure 31) that is warp knitted into a fabric (as illustrated in Figure 33) and/or process of making.

Sub-Species 5, drawn to a coaxial conductive yarn (as illustrated in Figure 25) and braided fabric (as illustrated in Figure 31) that is warp knitted into a fabric (as illustrated in Figure 34) and/or process of making.

5. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, and a single disclosed sub-species, for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

6. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

7. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

8. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

9. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

10. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the

Art Unit: 1771

currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew T Piziali whose telephone number is (571) 272-1541. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8:00-4:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached on (571) 272-1478. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

atp

973 3/5/05

ANDREW T. PIZIALI
PATENT EXAMINER

Andrew T. Piziali
ANDREW T. PIZIALI
PATENT EXAMINER
ELECTRONIC
PATENT EXAMINER